## TOWARD THE FUTURE: UPGRADING THE ${ }^{6}$ HE-CRES EXPERIMENT WITH AN ION TRAP
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## MOTIVATION

$>$ Probe chirality-flipping couplings through the Fierz interference term
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## MOTIVATION

> Probe chirality-flipping couplings through the Fierz interference term

$$
b= \pm \frac{2 \sqrt{1-(\alpha Z)^{2}}\left[\frac{g_{S}}{g_{V}} \epsilon_{S}-4\left(\frac{\langle\sigma \tau\rangle}{\langle\tau\rangle}\right)^{2} \frac{g_{T}}{g_{V}} \frac{g_{A}}{g_{V}} \epsilon_{T}\right]}{1+\left(\frac{\langle\sigma \tau\rangle}{\langle\tau\rangle}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{g_{A}}{g_{V}}\right)^{2}}
$$

> Where we can find $b$ in the beta decay equation

$$
W d E \propto \frac{F( \pm Z, E)}{2 \pi^{3}} p E\left(E_{0}-E\right)^{2} \xi\left(1+b \frac{m}{E}\right) d E
$$



## CRASH COURSE: CRES

> Cyclotron Radiation Emission Spectroscopy (CRES)
> Developed by the Project 8 collaboration
> Measures radiation of axially confined betas in a magnetic trap

D. M.Asner, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. I I4, I6250 (2015)
"Never measure anything but frequency!" Arthur Schawlow
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## CRASH COURSE: CRES

> Cyclotron Radiation Emission Spectroscopy (CRES)
> Developed by the Project 8 collaboration
> Measures radiation of axially confined betas in a magnetic trap
$>$ Linear tracks form as the beta loses energy to the emissions

$$
f \propto \frac{q B}{m_{e}+E_{e}}
$$

> Retracing to the starting point of the track we can narrow our energy resolution to the eV scale!
D. M.Asner, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. I I4, I6250 (2015)
"Never measure anything but frequency!" Arthur Schawlow


Magnetic field line

## 6HE-CRES IN A NUTSHELL

> Pumps gaseous ${ }^{6} \mathrm{He}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Ne}$ atoms into a decay cell/waveguide

W. Byron et al., arxiv:2209.02870 (2022)
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## 6HE-CRES IN A NUTSHELL

$>$ Pumps gaseous ${ }^{6} \mathrm{He}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Ne}$ atoms into a decay cell/waveguide
> Magnetic trap for axial confinement for betas
> Alter B-field to scan entire spectrum



W. Byron et al., arxiv:2209.02870 (2022)

## WALL EFFECTS

Largest and smallest electron orbits at 2 T
> Wall-bound betas leave insufficient tracks
> Energy dependent spectrum shift
$>$ Spectrum ratio cancellation ( ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Ne}$ and ${ }^{6} \mathrm{He}$ )


## WALL EFFECTS

Largest and smallest electron orbits at 2 T
> Wall-bound betas leave insufficient tracks
> Energy dependent spectrum shift
$>$ Spectrum ratio cancellation ( ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Ne}$ and ${ }^{6} \mathrm{He}$ )
> Radial confinement of ions with ion trap


## ION TRAP ADDITION: PENNING TRAP

Design Specifications
> Radius: $r=5.78 \mathrm{~mm}$
> Trap Length: $l=101.6 \mathrm{~mm}$


## ION TRAP ADDITION: PENNING TRAP

Design Specifications
> Radius: $r=5.78 \mathrm{~mm}$
> Trap Length: $l=101.6 \mathrm{~mm}$
RF Considerations
> 4 electrode gaps of $\Delta x=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
> Shielded insulator from RF cavity
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> Changes to waveguide
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## RF CONSIDERATIONS

> Changes to waveguide
> $\mathrm{r}=2 \mathrm{~mm}$ hole added to the waveguide
> RF Considerations
> Loss difference is small between the current waveguide and the proposed additions



## ION TRAP ADDITION: RFQ

## Operating Parameters

$>$ Characteristic radius: $r_{0}=12 \mathrm{~mm}$
> Operating frequencies: $f=1-2 \mathrm{MHz}$
> Peak-to-peak voltage: $V_{p p}=400 \mathrm{~V}$
Resulting Bunch Characteristics
> Time spread: $\Delta \mathrm{t} \sim 0.57 \mu \mathrm{~s}$
> Energy spread: $\Delta \mathrm{E} \sim 3.5 \mathrm{eV}$
$>$ Emittance: $\varepsilon_{r m s} \sim 0.9 \pi \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{mrad} @ 60 \mathrm{keV}$
$>$ Transmission Rate (Within RFQ): 83\%
> Estimated maximum capacity: $\mathbf{1 . 4} \cdot \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{4}}$ particles/bunch
T. Brunner, et al., Nuc. Inst. and Methods 676, 32-43 (2012)
M. Mehlman, et al., Hyperfine Interact 235, 77-86 (2015)


## A LOOK INSIDE THETRAP

> In the trap, we have $\sim 65 \%$ of ions from the RFQ being captured and radially contained to avoid wall effects
$><1 \%$ of ions have a maximum radius within the "Danger Zone" that would contribute to wall effects


## COUNT RATE



| Cause of Loss | Effect |
| :---: | :---: |
| RFQ Efficiency (continuous mode) | $83 \%$ efficiency |
| Beamline \& Trap Injection | $65 \%$ efficiency |
| Trapped Betas | $3 \%$ efficiency |
| Events observed within frequency <br> window | $10 \%$ efficiency |

> We won't be able to get to the expected count rate from the proposal given the presumed limitations of the RFQ.


## SPACE CHARGE EFFECTS


M. Gerbaux, et al.,hal-038।5I8I (2022)

## COUNT RATE



Cause of Loss $\quad$ Effect \begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline RFQ Efficiency (continuous mode) \& $83 \%$ efficiency <br>
\hline Beamline \& Trap Injection \& $65 \%$ efficiency <br>
\hline Trapped Betas \& $3 \%$ efficiency <br>

\hline | Events observed within frequency |
| :---: |
| window | \& $10 \%$ efficiency <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

> We won't be able to get to the expected count rate from the proposal given the presumed limitations of the RFQ.


## IONTRAP ADDITION: CONCLUSION

Wall effects
Radial confinement of charged particles
RF considerations
E Restricted ion trap size
E Injection hole and electrode gaps do not degrade signal
Trap injection
RFQ designed for wide mass range
Count Rate
[ Limitations of bunch size limit count rate, but simulations show that this may not be the case.
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## Questions?

## BACKUPS




Trap Closed


Trap Open



$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} k T & =\frac{1}{2} m \omega_{\mathrm{sec}}^{2} u_{\mathrm{sec}}^{2} \\
u & =u_{\mathrm{sec}}-\frac{q u_{\mathrm{sec}}}{2} \cos \left(\omega_{\mathrm{RF}} t\right) \\
n & =\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{e} \cdot q \frac{V_{\mathrm{RF}}}{r_{0}^{2}} \\
N_{\max } & =\pi u_{\text {total }}^{2} \cdot n \cdot z_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Rubiales, D. R. (2003) A radiofrequency quadrupole buncher for accumulation and cooling of heavy radionuclides at SHIPTRAP and high precision mass measurements on unstable krypton isotopes at ISOLTRAP

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{T E_{11}}=\frac{Z_{11} e^{2} v_{0}^{2}}{8 \pi \alpha}\left(J_{1}^{\prime 2}\left(k_{c} \rho_{c}\right)+\frac{1}{k_{c}^{2} \rho_{c}^{2}} J_{1}^{2}\left(k_{c} \rho_{c}\right)\right) \\
P_{T M_{01}}=\frac{Z_{01} e^{2} v_{0}^{2}}{16 \pi^{2} \beta} * J_{0}^{\prime 2}\left(k_{c} \rho\right) \\
v_{0}=\rho_{c} \Omega_{c} \\
\alpha=0.108858 R^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## GOLIATH

## Gas Operated Light-Ion Atomic Trap for ${ }^{6} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{CRES}$
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## Radio frequency quadrupole trap (RFQ)

The Mathieu stability parameter $q$ must be constrained between $0.4<q<0.7$ from for the highest probability of retaining an ion of mass $M$

$$
\mathrm{q}=\frac{e V_{p p}}{\Omega^{2} M r_{0}^{2}}
$$

With this we constrain our operating voltage $\left(V_{p p}\right)$ and frequency $(\Omega)$ to the characteristic distance $\left(r_{0}\right)$ for a given mass.
With ions trapped in the RFQ, we use a buffer gas to cool the ions. Once the ions have sufficiently cooled, we release them from the RFQ as a singular bunch that is able to be captured by the Penning trap for measurement


