Nuclear-Structure Dependent Corrections to Superallowed Beta Decay

I.S. Towner^a and J.C. Hardy ^a Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada

Critical components of any test of CKM unitarity via superallowed beta decay [1] are the small calculated correction terms, some of which depend on the nuclear structure of the states involved in the decay. The expression [2,3] for the corrected *Ft* value is

$$ft = ft(1 + \delta_{\rm R})(1 - \delta_{\rm C}) = {\rm K}/[2 {\rm G_{\rm F}}^2 {\rm V}_{\rm ud}^2 (1 + \Delta_{\rm R})^2 {\rm V}_{\rm ud}^2 (1 + \Delta_{\rm R})^2 {\rm V}_{\rm ud}^2 {\rm U}_{\rm ud}^2 {\rm$$

where δ_R is the nucleus-dependent radiative correction, $\Delta_R^{~V}$ a nucleus-independent radiative correction and δ_C an isospin-symmetry breaking correction; K is a numerical constant, G_F the fundamental weak-interaction coupling constant and V_{ud} the up-down quark-mixing matrix element of the Cabibbo-Kobayash-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. The three correction terms δ_R , $\Delta_R^{~V}$ and δ_C are all of order 10⁻².

It is also convenient to separate δ_R into two terms:

$$\delta_{\rm R} = \delta_{\rm R}' + \delta_{\rm NS}$$

The first term, δ_R ', is a function of the positron's energy and the charge of the daughter nucleus, Z, and therefore depends on the particular nuclear decay, but it is *independent* of nuclear structure. It can be calculated from standard QED. Somewhat smaller but nuclear-structure dependent is the correction δ_{NS} .

Close to major shell closures the choice of model space and effective interaction is more problematical. We adopted the following strategy. For A = 14 and 18, we use the Cohen-Kurath interaction for *p*-shell interactions, the USD for *sd*-shell interactions and the Milliner-Kurath interaction [11] for the cross-shell matrix elements. For A = 38 and 42, we proceeded similarly using the USD interaction for *sd*-shell interactions, KB3

We have re-examined the two nuclear-structure dependent corrections, δ_{NS} and δ_{C} . Our purpose was to calculate these corrections using the same or very similar methods as before but, where possible, improving on the previous shell-model calculations (for example, see [4-6]). More importantly, we aimed to calculate δ_{R} and δ_{C} consistently using the same model approximations for each and, at the same time, assess the accuracy with which these corrections can be obtained.

The choice of an effective interaction for shellmodel calculations for light nuclei whose principal configurations involve several valence nucleons away from major shell closures is easily made. There are well established interactions that give excellent fits to spectra. For A=10, we use the Cohen-Kurath [7] interaction, (8-16)POT, and for A = 22, 26, 30 and 34, we use the universal sdinteraction, USD, of Wildenthal [8]. For nuclei A = 46, 50 and 54, we considered two interactions: the Kuo-Brown G-matrix as modified by Poves and Zuker [9] and denoted KB3, and the fp-model independent interaction of Richter et al [10] denoted FPMI3. For nuclei A=50 and 54 it was not possible to perform an untruncated calculation in the full fp space; our calculations only contain $(f_{7/2})^{n-r}(p_{3/2}, f_{5/2}, p_{1/2})^r$ configurations with $r \le 2$. for the *pf*-shell interactions the Milliner-Kurath interaction to provide the cross-shell interactions. For practical reasons, we also restricted the number of active orbitals. For A = 38, we use the $s_{1/2}$, $d_{3/2}$ and $f_{7/2}$ orbitals; while for A = 42, we use the $d_{3/2}$, $f_{7/2}$ and $p_{3/2}$ orbitals. We also considered the interaction constructed by Warburton et al [12] for A = 38 and 42. Again, for practical reasons, we truncated to just the $d_{3/2}$, $f_{7/2}$ and $p_{3/2}$ orbitals and we renormalized the interaction by adjusting its centroids to obtain reasonable spectra.

Table 1: Adopted values for the nuclear-structure dependent
corrections for superallowed beta emitters with $A \le 54$

prections for superallowed beta emitters with $A \le 54$			work to the heavier emitters $(A \ge 62)$ as well.
Parent	δ _{NS} (%)	δ _C (%)	
T_ = 1·			References
1 _Z 1,			[1] J. C. Hardy et al., Progress in Research,
¹⁰ C	-0.360(35)	0.180(18)	Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University (2000- 2001) p. L-24
¹⁴ O	-0.250(50)	0.320(25)	[2] J. C. Hardy <i>et al.</i> , Nucl. Phys. A509 , 429
¹⁸ Ne	-0.290(35)	0.620(32)	(1990). [2] J. S. Towner and J. C. Hardy, Prog. V. Int.
²² Mg	-0.240(20)	0.260(14)	WEIN Symposium: Physics Beyond the Standard
²⁶ Si	-0.230(20)	0.370(14)	Model, eds P. Herczog <i>et al.</i> (World Scientific, 1999) pp 338.
³⁰ S	-0.190(15)	0.925(34)	[4] I. S. Towner, Phys. Lett. B333 , 3420 (1994).
³⁴ Ar	-0.185(15)	0.680(22)	[5] I. S. Towner <i>et al.</i> , Nucl. Phys. A284 , 269 (1977).
³⁸ Ca	-0.180(15)	0.785(41)	[6] W. E. Ormand and B.A. Brown, Phys. Rev. C
⁴² Ti	-0.240(20)	0.770(108)	52 , 2455 (1995). [7] S. Cohen and D. Kurath, Nucl. Phys. 73 , 1
$T_Z = 0$:			(1965).
^{26m} Al	0.009(20)	0.270(14)	[8] B. H. Wildenthal, in Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics, ed. D.H. Wilkinson (Pergamon
³⁴ Cl	-0.085(15)	0.665(25)	Press, Oxford, 1984) Vol. 11, 5.
^{38m} K	-0.100(15)	0.670(36)	[9] A. Poves and A.P. Zuker, Phys. Reports 70, 235 (1981).
⁴² Sc	0.030(20)	0.485(42)	[10] W.A. Richter <i>et al.</i> , Nucl. Phys. A523 , 325 (1991)
⁴⁶ Ti	-0.040(7)	0.435(32)	[11] D.J. Milliner and D. Kurath, Nucl. Phys.
50 V	-0.042(7)	0.495(36)	A255 , 315 (1975).
⁵⁴ Co	-0.029(7)	0.620(38)	[12] E.K. warburton and J.A. Becker, Phys. Rev. C 37 , 754 (1988).

corrections are shown in the Table. This is the first

time that a full set of consistent calculations covering all of the light (A \leq 54) superallowed

emitters. In the near future, we will extend this

Our results for the nuclear-structure dependent